

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mi)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,414	04/03/2001	Alan Collmer	19603/3243 (CRF D-2601C)	2043
7590 03/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Michael L. Goldman			WAX, ROBERT A	
NIXON PEABO Clinton Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 31051		1653		
Rochester, NY 14603			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
a	09/825,414	COLLMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Robert A. Wax	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become AN	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. rHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>7-9 and 38-45</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9 and 38-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to be	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the p 	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paner No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/825,414

Art Unit: 1653

SUPPLEMENTAL OFFICE ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. During the interview with applicants' attorney Examiner realized that Alfano et al. could not qualify as prior art under 35 USC 102(b) but only under 35 USC 102(a) at best. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 provides evidence that Alfano et al. was not "by another" as required by 35 USC 102(a). Therefore, that rejection should not have been made. This supplemental Office action explicitly withdraws that rejection and restarts the time period for response. Any inconvenience is regretted.
- Upon reconsideration of applicants' arguments regarding the similarity of SEQ ID
 No. 7 and SEQ ID No. 66, the restriction requirement between them is hereby
 withdrawn. This Office action reflects the findings of a search of SEQ ID No. 66.

Upon reconsideration of the previous rejections, they are hereby withdrawn in favor of the new rejection below.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed November 3, 2003 has been considered. Please see the attached initialed PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/825,414

Art Unit: 1653

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 7-9 and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Charkowski et al. (Ref. 2 on the 1449 filed February 4, 2002).

Charkowski et al. teach an isolated protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No: 7. This anticipates claims 7, 8, 39, 40 and 44 with no further explanation required. Claim 9 is anticipated because the protein does not exist in a vacuum, but rather, in solution. The water is the carrier. Claim 38 is anticipated because a protein is a protein whether it is produced recombinantly or not, that is, patentability of a product is independent of the process by which it is made. Claims 41-43 and 45 are anticipated because the DNA encoding SEQ ID No. 7 must have a complement that hybridizes under the specified conditions to SEQ ID No. 6.

The Charkowski et al. reference came up in the sequence search for SEQ ID No. 66, it shows that SEQ ID No. 66 is 77.7% identical to SEQ ID No. 7. DNA having SEQ ID NO. 65 would be expected to hybridize to DNA encoding SEQ ID No. 7 under the hybridization conditions specified in claims 7, 41, 42 and 45. Thus, this teaching anticipates claims 7, 9, 38-42 and 45 for the same reasons as above.

One of ordinary skill would not, however, expect that DNA having SEQ ID NO. 65 would hybridize to DNA encoding SEQ ID No. 7 under the hybridization conditions specified in claim 43; in fact, no such DNA was discovered during the sequence search.

Art Unit: 1653

Conclusion

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Robert A. Wax whose telephone number is (571) 272-0623. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S. F. Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

> Robert A. Wax **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1653